

## **NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY COURT**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION**

11 CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, a  
12 California Charter City, and Municipal  
13 Corporation, the HUNTINGTON  
14 BEACH CITY COUNCIL, MAYOR  
OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, TONY  
STRICKLAND, and MAYOR PRO  
TEM OF HUNTINGTON BEACH,  
GRACEY VAN DER MARK.

## Plaintiffs.

V.

GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of California, and individually; GUSTAVO VELASQUEZ in his official capacity as Director of the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development, and individually; STATE LEGISLATURE; STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS; and DOES 1-50, inclusive.

### Defendants.

Case No. 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT  
STIPULATION TO SET BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE ON MOTIONS  
CHALLENGING FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT [39]**

Compl. filed: March 9, 2023  
Am. Compl. filed: March 27, 2023

The Hon. Fred W. Slaughter

Trial Date: None Set

1 Having reviewed and considered the Joint Stipulation to Set Briefing  
2 Schedule on Motions Challenging First Amended Complaint [39] (the  
3 “Stipulation”), signed by counsel for Plaintiffs City of Huntington Beach, the  
4 Huntington Beach City Council, Mayor of Huntington Beach Tony Strickland, and  
5 Mayor Pro Tem of Huntington Beach Gracey van der Mark (collectively  
6 “Plaintiffs”); counsel for Defendant Southern California Association of  
7 Governments (“SCAG”); and counsel for Defendants Gavin Newsom, Gustavo  
8 Velasquez, and State of California Department of Housing and Community  
9 Development (collectively “the State Defendants”), and good cause appearing, the  
10 court **GRANTS** the Stipulation and **ORDERS** the following:

11

- 12       1. SCAG and the State Defendants shall file responses to Plaintiff’s First  
13           Amended Complaint (the “FAC”) **on or before May 1, 2023.**
- 14       2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any motion brought by SCAG  
15           and/or the State Defendants challenging the FAC **on or before June 6,**  
16           **2023.** If the Plaintiffs choose to file a single opposition to all of the  
17           separate respective motions filed by respective defendants, Plaintiffs’  
18           opposition may be up to thirty (30) pages instead of fifteen (15) for  
19           their sole opposition brief.
- 20       3. SCAG and the State Defendants shall file any replies in support of their  
21           respective motions challenging the FAC on or before **June 22, 2023.**

22       ///

23       ///

24       ///

25

26

27

28

1       4. Any motions challenging the FAC shall be calendared by the respective  
2       party/parties in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
3       the Central District of California Local Rules, and this court's  
4       procedures on its website at [https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-](https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-fred-w-slaughter)  
5       [fred-w-slaughter](https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-fred-w-slaughter).

6  
7       **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8  
9       DATED: April 11, 2023



---

Hon. Fred W. Slaughter  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28